

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Ravinder Kataria,

64, New Sant Fateh Singh Nagar,
Dugri Road, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Secretary,

Regional Transport Authority (RTA),

District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o State Transport Commissioner Punjab, Chandigarh.

SCO 177-178,

Sector-17-Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 2801 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Ravinder Kataria (Appellant) 94631-33590 via Cisco Webex.

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 3.10.19, 29.11.19, 20.12.19, 13.2.2020, 17.3 2021, and 18.6.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated **6.5.19** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding commercial driving licenses etc.,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **1.8.19** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **1.8.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

2. The appellant attended the hearing through CISCO WEBEX. The respondent PIO is absent without intimation. Besides failing to supply the information, he has also failed to deposit the penalty of Rs. 10,000/- imposed upon him vide this Commission's Order of 18.6.2021.

3. Under the circumstances, this Commission directs the respondent PIO to furnish the information requested at all points of this RTI application of 6.5.2019, forthwith. He is further more directed to deposit the penalty imposed upon him vide this Commission's orders of 18.6.2021 and submit a copy of the challan as proof of compliance.

4. This be treated as the Commission's Final Order in this Appeal Case. The appellant, Ravinder Kataria is free to pursue further legal remedies in the event of continuing non-compliance of this Commission's Orders by the respondent PIO. This appeal case is herewith **CLOSED.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

13.8.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Rajpreet Singh,

S/o Sh. Joginder Singh,
Near Gurudwara Boonga Sahib,
Ward No. 12, Village Bhikhi,
Tehsil & District Mansa.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Executive Engineer (XEN),
Irrigation Branch, Jawaharke Kothi,
District Mansa.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Superintendent Engineer,
I.B. Circle, Nabha Road,
District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1368 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Rajpreet Singh (Appellant)
Jagmeet Singh, XEN, Mansa Canal (Respondent) 98880-48090

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **5.12.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **by way of certified copy of Barbandi along with Goshwara pertaining to the irrigation of fields from Mohga No. 70056R Bhikhi Rajwaha**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **11.1.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **15.3.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **13.8.2021**.
2. Both parties are present via Video Conference from Mansa. The respondent PIO, represented by Jagmeet Singh, XEN, Mansa Canal stated that the information was first sent vide Letter No. 6104 dated 31.12.2020. The remaining information with regard to point 1, which was not available at that time at the time the RTI application was received, has now been supplied on 6.8.2021. The appellant has confirmed the receipt of the requested information.
3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
13.8.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sunil Kumar,
S/o Lekh Raj,
1350/9, Gali Gujran Wali,
Chowk Baba Sahib, Amritsar.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 745 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 14.2.2020, 23.9.2020, 12.11.2020, and 15.1.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated 7.8.18 vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding complaint by Mrs. Pooja D/o Ashok Kumar dated 29.9.14 UID No. 600878, dated 30.9.14 and UID No. 756998 dated: 15.6.15 against her husband and others.** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 13.9.18 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.2.19 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on 15.1.2021.
2. Both parties are absent. At the last hearing on 15.1.2021, the appellant was requested to examine the information supplied by the respondent PIO pertaining to UID No.756998 dated 15.6.2015, vide his Letter No. 780/D/RTI dated 24.12.2020. The appellant in an email has made a submission vide his letter dated 21.4.2021 to the Commission.
3. In his aforesaid submission, the appellant Sunil Kumar has raised several objections to the statements made under oath, in the affidavit, by the PIO-cum-Joint Commissioner of Police Ludhiana. His objections particularly refer to the PIO's statement that the record pertaining to Complaint UID 600878 dated 30.9.2014, has in fact been destroyed and so not available to be supplied to the appellant.
4. This Commission has carefully examined the PIO-cum Joint Commissioner's affidavit as well as the contrasting contentions made by the appellant in his submission. The Commission is of the considered view that the requested information, as available in the records of the Ludhiana

Contd. ...2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 745 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

Police has been supplied to the appellant. And while herewith, recording its exception to the stated destruction of the record pertaining to Complaint UID 600878 dated 30.9.2014, the Commission is notes that the PIO-cum-Joint Commissioner has stated this under oath by way of a notarized affidavit.

5. In view of the above, this Commission is of view that this RTI application has now been adequately addressed. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith, **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

13.8.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jaipal Singh,

S/o Nihal Chand,
22, Inder Vihar Colony, Near Bala Ji Mandir,
Noorwala Road, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Chief Engineer,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),
East Division, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

First Appellant Authority,

o/o Chief Engineer,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),
East Division, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 1040 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Jaipal Singh (Appellant)

Rajinder Singh Sidhu, APIO-cum-Additional Superintendent Engineer (for the respondent) 96461-11531

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **23.9.2020, 12.11.2020, and 15.1.2021**)*

1. The RTI application is dated **16.9.2019**, vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the PSPCL**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **31.10.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **6.3.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **15.1.2021**.

2. Both parties are present via Video Conference from Ludhiana. The respondent PIO, represented by Rajinder Singh Sidhu, Additional Superintendent Engineer-cum-APIO, stated the requested information was sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 1339 dated 24.5.2021. A copy of the said letter has also been received in the Commission. The appellant also confirmed that he has received the information.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

13.8.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Paramjit Singh, S/o Manjit Singh,
1426 D, Model Town,
District Ludhiana – 141 001

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Municipal Corporation,
Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 4003 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Harjit Singh, Draftsman, from Zone-D (for the respondent) 98141-66090

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 18.3.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated **9.6.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding his complaint of 24.2.2020**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **12.8.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information. This appeal case was last heard on **18.3.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Harjit Singh, Draftsman, is present via Video Conference from Ludhiana. At the last hearing on 18.3.2021, the appellant was given an opportunity to examine the information supplied by the respondent PIO vide Letter No. 422/ATP-D/RTI/D dated 17.3.2021. As per Letter No.140/ATP-D/RTI-D dated 8.7.2021, from the respondent PIO, the appellant has communicated no deficiency in the information supplied to him. The appellant has also not made any such communication to this Commission.

3. Under the circumstances, the Commission is constrained to conclude that the appellant is satisfied with the information furnished. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
13.8.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Paramjit Singh,

S/o Manjit Singh,

1426 D, Model Town,

District Ludhiana – 141 001

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Superintendent Headquarter,

Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,

Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 4004 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Ashok Kumar Garg, Superintendent-cum-PIO (Respondent) 81464-80800

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 18.3.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated **8.6.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding buildings in Zone A, B, C & D**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **13.7.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **18.3.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO-cum-Superintendent, Ashok Kumar Garg, is present via Video Conference from Ludhiana. As directed by this Commission, he was sent information/reply pertaining to all the four Zones vide letter No. 56 dated 8.7.2021. The said reply has also been received in this Commission via email. As per the respondent, there is since been no communication from the appellant, who has neither approached this Commission.

3. Under the circumstances, the Commission is compelled to conclude that the appellant is satisfied with the reply/information given to him by the respondent PIO. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

13.8.2021